Rec'd PCT/PTO 2 7 APR 2005

• •	_		4
PA	NT	COOPERATION	TREAT
•			

om the ITERNATION	NAL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY			(0 1 NOV 2004)
To: FRANK JOFFE OHN & KERNICK				PCT	THE WEST
P O BOX 351 HALFWAY I MIDRAND	HOUSE			WRITTEN OPINIO	
	OF SOUTH AFRICA 1685			(PCT Rule 66)	
			Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	19 007 2004	
	or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE	within 2 months/days fi	
P15303PC00 International	application No.	International filing date		Priority date (day/mor	
		27 October 2003 (27.10		28 October 2002 (28.	10.2002)
PCT/IB03/04 International	Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classification	tion and IPC		
IPC(7); A63: Applicant	F 9/24 and US Cl.: 463/26				
•	V fo 4 47 temper				
	AF LIMITED				
1. 7	This written opinion is the fi	rst (first, etc.) drawn by	this International Pro	eliminary Examining A	uthority.
-	This opinion contains indicat				
۷, ۱		,			
	I Basis of the opini	ion	-		
	II Priority		•		
. ,	m Non-establishme	nt of opinion with regard	to novelty, inventive	step and industrial app	licability
	<u> </u>				
) with sooned as marri	ity, inventive stee or it	idustrial applicability:
	citations and exp	ent under Rule 66.2 (a)(li)	, with regard to nove statement	ary, macumae steb or n	
	VI Certain documen		at		
1		in the international applica			
v		tions on the international a			·
3.	The applicant is hereby inv	ited to reply to this opinion	on.	fore the suminosian about	hat time limit reques
	ehic Auchari	e limit indicated above. T	See (vic oo.2(a).		
	For the for	ing a written reply, accom- m and the language of the	amenaments, sec Ku	ites co.a and co.s.	, according to Kute oo.s.
	For the exa	itional opportunity to subm miner's obligation to cons ormal communication with	sider amendments an the examiner, see R	avor arguments, see Ru ule 66.6	
	If no reply is filed, the in	ternational preliminary ex-	amination report will	be established on the t	pasis of this opinion.
4.	The final date by which the examination report must be	a incomprisonal preliminary	v		
Name a	nd mailing address of the IP	EA/US	Authorized off		the flener
1	Mail Stop PCT. Ann: IPBA/US	1		157 A	hour store
1	Commissioner for Parents P.O. Box 1450	10	Kim Nguyen	345 170	12 (2011) 2 3 3 30
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145 No. (703) 305-3230	50	Telephone No.	(703)308-1148	
Pacsimile	140. (703) 303-3230				

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)



Internationa	cation No.
PCT/IB03/04736	

Ι.	Basis of the opinion
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:
	the international application as originally filed the description: pages 1-18 pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the letter of
	the claims: pages 19-25
	the drawings: pages 1-3 pages NONE pages NONE pages NONE filed with the demand pages NONE filed with the letter of
	the sequence listing part of the description: pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of
2	2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language English which is: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55,2 and/or 55.3).
	 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in printed form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listin has been furnished.
	4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages NONE the claims, Nos. NONE the drawings, sheets/fig NONE 5. This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)). * Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to this opinion as "originally filed."
1	

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box I) (July 1998)



Internation plication No. PCT/IB03/04736

v.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT		
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES
	Claims 1-40	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
, ()	Claims 1-40	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-40	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-40 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Mothwurf et al (US 2001/0036857). Mothwurf et al discloses a jackpot wagering system comprising a player terminal for placing a wager to play a game of chance, an accumulation facility for accumulating a portion of the wager, a random number generator for generating an winning outcome, the winning outcome is determined according to a size of the wager (paragraphs 0155-0156, 0158, 0161, 0163, and 0170).

Claims 1-40 meet the criteria under PCT Article 33(4), because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box V) (July 1998)

Internat pplication No.

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of	the preceding boxes is	not sufficient)	· 			
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.						
						·
				··	,	·
	·					,

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (July 1998)